

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 and 9-21 remain in this application. Claim 8 has been canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein, and claims 9, 15, and 16 have been amended as set forth above.

Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Berry et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,760,783). Claims 9-14 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1-7 and 15-21 are allowable.

The Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) is now moot, since claim 8 has been canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. Further, since claim 9 has been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of base claim 8, the Applicants respectfully submit that claims 9-15 are now allowable. The Applicants respectfully reserve the right to pursue the subject matter of original claim 8 in a continuation application.


For at least all of the above reasons, the Applicant respectfully requests that the prior art rejection of claim 8 and the objection to claims 9-14 be withdrawn. Allowance of this application is earnestly solicited. The Applicant respectfully submits that this application is now in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing, the application is considered to be in condition for allowance. Early notification of the same is earnestly solicited. If there are any questions regarding the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney via telephone at 815-885-2389 or via email at rob@intel.com.

Respectfully submitted,

February 21, 2006

Date



Gregory D. Caldwell
Reg. No. 39,926

Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, LLP
12400 Wilshire Blvd., Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(503) 439-8778